

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/613,791	07/03/2003	Jiang Hsieh	15-CT	1516
7590 12/07/2006			EXAMINER	
Patrick W. Ra			ARTMAN, THOMAS R	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO	-		2882	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/613,791		HSIEH ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thomas R. Artman	2882		

	Thomas R. Artman	2882					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion of time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ade event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI f).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
 (a) \text{They raise new issues that would require further content of the second of the second	ow);		the issues for				
appeal; and/or	etter form for appear by materially re	·	, the 133463 loi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1, 21		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>24-27 and 30-32</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>22,23,28 and 29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	and the second of the second o						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
ϵ		Thomas R. Artmar	1				
SUPER	EDWARD J. GLICK VISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Patent Examiner	app				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments raises new issues that require further consideration because the amendment to patent claim 9 requires a supplemental oath/declaration, and further that the amendment is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.173, paragraphs (b)(2) and (d) because the amendment does not have the proper markings.

